## Case 5:04-cv-01122-PVT Document 244 Filed 10/24/05 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	Scott R. Mosko (State Bar No. 106070) E-Mail: <a href="mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com">scott.mosko@finnegan.com</a> FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. Stanford Research Park 700 Hansen Way Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666  Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page	
7	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant STARENT NETWORKS CORP.	
8	STAKENT NET WORKS CORF.	
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
11		
12		
13	UTSTARCOM, INC., Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C 04 01122 PVT
14	v.	STIDIII ATION AND IDDODOSEDI
15	STARENT NETWORKS CORP.,	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
16	Defendant.	
17	STARENT NETWORKS CORP.,	
18	Counterclaimant, v.	
19	UTSTARCOM, INC., Counter Defendant.	
20	Counter Bereindanc.	
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
20 27		
28		

## Case 5:04-cv-01122-PVT Document 244 Filed 10/24/05 Page 2 of 3

1	1. On or about September 1, 2005, UTStarcom proposed a schedule for filing summary	
2	judgment papers. UTStarcom's proposed schedule called for moving papers to be filed by	
3	September 20, 2005, oppositions to be filed by October 11, 2005, replies to be filed by October 25,	
4	2005, with a hearing date of November 8, 2005;	
5	2. On or about September 9, 2005, Starent communicated that it was willing to abide by	
6	UTStarcom's proposed schedule for both parties' motions for summary judgment;	
7	3. On October 11, UTStarcom advised Starent that UTStarcom was filing its opposition	
8	to Starent's summary judgment motion that date, i.e. October 11. Starent later advised UTStarcom	
9	that it was under the belief that its opposition to UTStarcom's motion for summary judgment was	
10	due October 18, 2005 according to the Civil Local Rules, i.e. three weeks before the noticed hearing	
11	of November 8, 2005;	
12	4. UTStarcom completed its filing of its opposition to Starent's motion for summary	
13	judgment on October 11, 2005. Starent filed its opposition to UTStarcom's motion for summary	
14	judgment on October 14, 2005;	
15	5. The parties hereby stipulate that UTStarcom may have to and including October 27,	
16	2005, in which to file its reply to Starent's opposition to UTStarcom's motion for summary	
17	judgment.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28

## Case 5:04-cv-01122-PVT Document 244 Filed 10/24/05 Page 3 of 3

1	6. Scott R. Mosko obta	ined permission from Brian Cannon to affix his electronic
2	signature to this pleading.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4	Dated: October 19, 2005	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
5		GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
6		
7		By: /s/ Scott R. Mosko
8		Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
9	Dated: October 19, 2005	Starent Networks Corp. SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
10		
11		Dv: /o/
12		By: /s/ Brian Cannon Attorneys for Plaintiff
13		UTStarcom, Inc.
14		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
15		[PROPOSED] ORDER
	UTStarcom may have to and	[PROPOSED] ORDER I including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to
15 16 17	UTStarcom may have to and Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	l including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to
16 17		d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.
16 17 18		d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.
16	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	l including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to
16 17 18 19	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull
16 17 18 19 20 21	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull
116   117   118   119   120   121   122   122   131	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull
116   117   118   119   119   120   121   122   122   123   123   134   145	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   224	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull
16   17   18   19   19   20   21   22   23   24   225   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull
16 17 18 19 20	Starent's opposition to UTStarcom'	d including October 27, 2005, in which to file its reply to s Motion for Summary Judgment.  Patricia V. Trumbull